

# Differential Footload of Male and Female Fisher, *Martes pennanti*, in Quebec

AURELIE RENARD<sup>1</sup>, MAXIME LAVOIE<sup>1</sup> and SERGE LARIVIÈRE<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Département de biologie, chimie et géographie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, Rimouski, Québec G5L 3A1, Canada  
<sup>2</sup> Cree Hunters and Trappers Income Security Board, Édifice Champlain, bureau 1110, 2700 boulevard Laurier, Sainte Foy, Québec G1V 4K5 Canada

Renard, Aurélie, Maxime Lavoie, and Serge Larivière. 2008. Differential footload of male and female Fisher (*Martes pennanti*) in Quebec. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 122(3): 269-270.

We examined the mass, foot area and foot oad (mass/surface area) of Fishers (Carnivora: Mustelidae: *Martes pennanti*) captured during the 2006-2007 commercial fur season in southern Quebec, Canada. Body mass of males ( $\bar{x} = 4.7 \text{ kg} \pm 0.5$ ,  $n = 37$ ) was significantly larger than that of females ( $\bar{x} = 2.4 \text{ kg} \pm 0.2$ ,  $n = 40$ ). Similarly, the size of male feet ( $\bar{x} \text{ area} = 130.5 \text{ cm}^2 \pm 10.9$ ,  $n = 37$ ) was significantly larger than that of females ( $\bar{x} = 95.2 \text{ cm}^2 \pm 7.6 \text{ SE}$ ,  $n = 40$ ). The resulting footload of males ( $36.2 \text{ g/cm}^2 \pm 6.1$ ,  $n = 37$ ) was 43% larger than that of females ( $25.3 \text{ g/cm}^2 \pm 2.3$ ,  $n = 40$ ). These results may help explain the differential behaviour and niche partitioning in this mustelid carnivore.

Key Words: Fisher, *Martes pennanti*, footload, foot size, snow, mass, niche, Quebec.

Environmental conditions greatly affect the ecology of northern animals. In snowy environments, energetic challenges are imposed by additional locomotory costs associated with travel in snow (Crête and Larivière 2003). To overcome these costs, northern animals adapt either through behaviour or morphology. Behavioral adaptations include traveling on hard surfaces (Murray and Boutin 1991), use of snow-free areas (Sweeney and Sweeney 1984), use of tracks or trails of other animals or humans (Murray et al. 1995; Bunnell et al. 2006), reduced movements (Nellemann 1996), or broadening of diet to reduce travel needs further (Dumont et al. 2005). In contrast, morphological adaptations include longer limbs (Telfer and Kelsall 1984), reduced mass (Hodges et al. 2006), larger feet (Murray and Larivière 2002), or reduced footloading, defined as the mass per foot area ratio (Murray and Larivière 2002).

The Fisher (*Martes pennanti*) is a medium-sized mustelid that occurs throughout the forests of North America. Fishers are sexually dimorphic, with males almost twice the size of females (Powell 1993). During winter, diet of males and females differs as the smaller females are more active hunters whereas males tend to rely more intensively on scavenging ungulate carcasses (Giuliano et al. 1989). Possibly, a linkage exists between behavioural ecology and morphology, especially in northern environments where deep snow increases locomotory costs (Crête and Larivière 2003). Thus, we used footload of Fishers at the northern limit of their range to help understand this linkage.

We collected Fishers that were captured during commercial fur trapping activities. Mass and sex were recorded before pelt removal. Collected carcasses were tagged and frozen until processing. Once thawed, the four unskinned paws were removed and their outline was traced to obtain a measure of foot area. A pencil was pressed against the periphery of the digital bones as it circled the paw, thereby compressing fur and toe (Murray and Larivière 2002). The resulting prints were photographed and their area was calculated with Photoshop software (Adobe Systems Incorporated). The pixels of each foot surface area were converted to  $\text{cm}^2$  using a known surface. Statistical comparisons between males and females were tested with a Mann-Whitney U-test.

The majority of the Fishers collected (92.0%,  $n = 77$ ) were captured between 16 November and 31 December. The body mass of males ( $\bar{x} = 4.7 \text{ kg} \pm 0.5$ ,  $n = 37$ ) was significantly larger ( $U < 0.001$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ,  $n = 37$ ) than that of females ( $\bar{x} = 2.4 \text{ kg} \pm 0.2$ ,  $n = 40$ ). Similarly, the foot area of males ( $\bar{x} = 130.5 \text{ cm}^2 \pm 10.9$ ,  $n = 37$ ) was significantly larger ( $U = 9.00$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ,  $n = 77$ ) than that of females ( $\bar{x} = 95.2 \text{ cm}^2 \pm 7.6$ ,  $n = 40$ ). The resulting footload was significantly larger ( $U = 13.00$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ,  $n = 77$ ) for males ( $\bar{x} = 36.2 \text{ g/cm}^2 \pm 6.1$ ,  $n = 37$ ) than females ( $\bar{x} = 25.3 \text{ g/cm}^2 \pm 2.3$ ,  $n = 40$ ).

Our study shows that although males have larger feet than females, their mass remains proportionally greater and this results in a higher footload. Interestingly, the footload of female Fisher ( $25.3 \text{ g/cm}^2$ ) is similar to that of the Canada Lynx (*Lynx canadensis*,

25.9 g/cm<sup>2</sup>; Murray and Boutin 1991), but much higher than that of the American Marten (*Martes americana*, 9.4 g/cm<sup>2</sup>; Krohn et al. 2003), a sister species with a much more northern distribution. Footload of male Fishers, albeit high compared to females, nonetheless is lower than that of coexisting canids such as Red Fox (*Vulpes vulpes*, 88.5 g/cm<sup>2</sup>) and Coyote (*Canis latrans*, 170.3 g/cm<sup>2</sup>; Murray and Larivière 2002) but higher than the footload of another scavenging mustelid, the Wolverine (*Gulo gulo*, 22 g/cm<sup>2</sup>; Knorre 1959). These results may help explain the differential ecology of male and female Fisher, especially in northern environments where deep snow is a constraint. However, further work should be conducted on male-female differences to better understand their behavioural ecology.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the Fédération des Trappeurs Gestionnaires du Québec for providing access to Fisher carcasses and the Gouvernement du Québec, Ministère des Ressources naturelles, faune et des parcs for technical support. Pierre-Yves Collin provided numerous comments which improved this manuscript. SL thanks The Cree Hunters and Trappers Income Security Board for logistic support and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for support through a discovery grant.

### Literature Cited

- Bunnell, K. D., J. T. Flinders, and M. L. Wolfe.** 2006. Potential impacts of coyotes and snowmobiles on lynx conservation in the intermountain west. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 34: 828–838.
- Crête, M., and S. Larivière.** 2003. Estimating the costs of locomotion in snow for coyotes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 81: 1808–1814.
- Dumont, B., A. Boissy, C. Achard, A. M. Sibbald, and H. W. Erhard.** 2005. Consistency of animal order in spontaneous group movements allows the measurement of leadership in a group of grazing heifers. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 95: 55–66.
- Giuliano, W. M., J. A. Litvaitis, and C. L. Stevens.** 1989. Prey selection in relation to sexual dimorphism of fishers (*Martes pennanti*) in New Hampshire. *Journal of Mammalogy* 70: 639–641.
- Hodges, K. E., R. Boonstra, and C. J. Krebs.** 2006. Over-winter mass loss of snowshoe hares in the Yukon: starvation, stress, adaptation or artefact? *Journal of Animal Ecology* 75: 1–13.
- Knorre, E. P.** 1959. Ecology of the elk. Pages 4–324 in *Transactions of the Pechora-Ilych State Game Preserve. Edited by G. A. Novikov.* Translated by the Canadian Wildlife Service 1966.
- Krohn, W., C. Hoving, D. Harrison, D. Phillips, and H. Frost.** 2003. *Martes* foot-load and snowfall patterns in eastern North America: implications to broad-scale distributions and interactions of mesocarnivores. Pages 115–135 in *Martens and fishers (Martes) in human-altered environments: An international perspective. Edited by D. J. Harrison, A. K. Fuller, and G. Proulx.* Springer, New-York. 279 pages.
- Murray, D. L., and S. Boutin.** 1991. The influence of snow on lynx and coyote movements: does morphology affect behavior? *Oecologia* 88: 463–469.
- Murray, D. L., and S. Larivière.** 2002. The relationship between foot size of wild canids and regional snow conditions: evidence for selection against a high footload? *Journal of Zoology, London* 256: 289–299.
- Murray, D. L., S. Boutin, M. O'Donoghue, and V. O. Nams.** 1995. Hunting behaviour of sympatric felid and canid in relation to vegetative cover. *Animal Behaviour* 50: 1203–1210.
- Nellemann, C.** 1996. Terrain selection by reindeer in late winter. *Arctic* 49: 339–347.
- Powell, R. A.** 1993. *The fisher, life history, ecology and behaviour*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis. 237 pages.
- Sweeney, J. M., and J. R. Sweeney.** 1984. Snow depths influencing winter movements of elk. *Journal of Mammalogy* 65: 524–526.
- Telfer, E. S., and J. P. Kelsall.** 1984. Adaptation of some large North American mammals for survival in snow. *Ecology* 65: 1828–1834.

Received 31 March 2008

Accepted 26 March 2009