State Records and Habitat of Clam Shrimp, *Caenestheriella gynecia* (Crustacea: Conchostraca), in New York and New Jersey
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We report new records of the Clam Shrimp, *Caenestheriella gynecia* Mattox 1950, from three localities in the Hudson Valley of New York and one locality in northeastern New Jersey. All of our specimens were collected in puddles on dirt roads. The New Jersey specimens have meristics that are well within the range of *Caenestheriella* Daday 1915; however, the eastern New York specimens resemble those reported from Massachusetts with meristics that are closer to *Cyzicus* Audouin 1837. We hypothesize that *C. gynecia* was established as a parthenogenetic species due to an unlikely dispersal event in the western part of its range and has migrated eastward since the last glacial maximum. Dispersal of this species may occur by wind, in the gut of birds, or stuck to animal feet or fur, or to vehicles. We recommend that *C. gynecia* be treated as rare and vulnerable to extinction throughout its range unless demonstrated otherwise.
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Clam Shrimps are small freshwater bivalvular arthropods in the order Conchostraca. Clam Shrimps inhabit isolated ephemeral pools, temporary ponds, and sometimes lakes; the taxon is found nearly worldwide (Williams 1987). *Caenestheriella gynecia* Mattox 1950 is a parthenogenetic species with no records of males, although males can be uncommon in related species and may be discovered (see Eder et al. 2000). Until Smith and Gola (2001) reported two populations of this species from western Massachusetts, the known range extended east from Ohio (Smith 2001) to northeastern Pennsylvania. Here we report four localities of *C. gynecia* in the eastern United States, representing range extensions to New York and New Jersey.

**Methods**

Specimens were captured from rain puddles and preserved in 50% isopropanol. Preserved specimens were measured with digital calipers (greatest length in mm). Spines on the telson and second antennal segments were counted at 320× with a stereomicroscope.

**Results**

Here we document four collections of *Caenestheriella gynecia*, representing the first records of this species from New Jersey and New York.

**NEW YORK:** rain puddle on abandoned farm road, 3.5 km south of Rhinebeck, Dutchess County, 73°55'15"W longitude, 41°53'19"N latitude, Town of Rhinebeck, [Kingston East, New York. 1963, photo-revised 1980 USGS 7.5 minute topographic map sheet], S. Nyman, 27 May 1994. 1 specimen, 7.6 mm, dried (Site C-3 in Kiviat et al. 1994*).

**NEW YORK:** rain puddles on dirt road, ca. 1.4 km NNW of East Park, Dutchess County, 73°55'20"W longitude, 41°48'19"N latitude, Town of Hyde Park, [Hyde Park, New York. 1963, USGS 7.5 minute topographic map sheet] E. Kiviat & J. Bridges, 26 June 2002. 5 specimens.

**NEW YORK:** rain puddles on ATV trail, ca. 3.4 km NNE of Saugerties, Greene County, 73°55'58"W longitude, 42°06'33"N latitude, Bristol Beach State Park [Saugerties, New York. 1963, USGS 7.5 minute topographic map sheet], E. Kiviat, 25 July 2007. 1 specimen.

Clam Shrimp habitats (Figure 1) at the New York and New Jersey localities were all rain puddles on dirt roads. Clam Shrimp were observed swimming slowly on the underside of the surface film in New York, and swimming in the water column and along the bottom in New York. The puddles were ca. 1.5-30 m² and ca. 5-15 cm deep at the dates of collection. The rain puddles essentially lacked vascular plants. We have visited the puddles at the New Jersey locality several times at different seasons 2002-2007; some of the puddles maintained their depths even during dry periods, but did dry during the summer 2005 drought. The New Jersey puddles were consistently very turbid and Clam Shrimp were not visible below the surface; the Hyde Park pools were clear enough to see Clam Shrimp swimming along the bottom. The roads at the New Jersey and Saugerties, New York localities are regularly used by all-terrain
vehicles (including “four-wheelers” and motorcycles),
and road vehicles (pickup trucks and sport-utility vehi-
cles) were observed using the road at the Hyde Park
 locality. The puddles at the Bristol Beach State Park
site were created and maintained by all-terrain vehicle
use. We believe the puddle habitats at these four sites
were created and maintained by wheeled vehicles; the
puddles at the Rhinebeck locality appeared less recent-
diy disturbed and may have been created by farm vehi-
cles. The dirt road at the Rhinebeck locality was bor-
dered by hardwood forest and woodland pools. The dirt
road at the Rhinebeck locality was fringed by tall shrubs
and small trees in the midst of a wet meadow and old
field. In New Jersey, the dirt road was fringed by Com-
mon Reed (Phragmites australis), tall shrubs, and
small trees, and was raised ca. 1.5 m above the level of
extensive, formerly tidal, marsh now dominated by com-
mon reed. The ATV trail at the Bristol Beach site
was bordered by second-growth hardwood forest.

The Hyde Park and Rhinebeck roads appear to com-
prise in situ soil materials which are mapped as Nas-
sau-Cardigan complex (Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts)
and Sun silt loam on glacial till, and Hudson-Vergennes
soil (Glossaquic Hapludalf) on glaciolacustrine silty
clay, respectively (Faber 2002*). The Saugerties site
has soils mapped as Hudson silt loam, a Glassa-
quic Hapludalf and Madalin silty clay loam, a Mollic Ochra-
qualf according to Tormes (1979*).

The pH of these soils varies from strongly or very
strongly acidic at the surface to moderately alkaline in
the substratum (Faber 2002*). Wetlands adjoining the
New Jersey site were mapped as Sulphemists and Sul-
fuents (Goodman 1995*); however, the dirt road ap-
pears to have been constructed from imported materi-
al with a light reddish color that may have originated
from nearby red Triassic shale and sandstone (Schu-
beth 1968). We have not found Clam Shrimp in wet-
lands adjoining the dirt road habitats.

Smith and Gola (2001) discussed generic placement
of this species and showed that the meristics on their
Massachusetts specimens were not typical of Caenes-
theriella but were intermediate or closer to species of
Cyzicus Andouin 1837. The most significant difference
in the genera is the shape of the male rostrum which
cannot be determined in our specimens. There are dif-
fferences in the number of spines on the telson, but the
counts on the Massachusetts specimens overlapped the
genera and Smith and Gola (2001) questioned whether
the two genera were distinct. The size and meristics of
our New Jersey specimens (Table 1) fall clearly within
Caenestheriella Daday 1915 (all specimens contained
eggs) as did the single specimen from Saugerties (west
of the Hudson River). Our New York specimens from
east of the Hudson River, however, are similar to those
in western Massachusetts. It is possible that the eastern
New York and Massachusetts populations are Cyzicus.

Discussion

Caenestheriella gynecia inhabits temporary fresh-
water pools and was considered a “warm water” species

Figure 1. Habitat of Caenestheriella gynecia in New Jersey. Photograph by Erik Kiviat.
by Weeks and Marcus (1997). This is typical habitat for a suite of clam shrimp and relatives (Anostraca, Notostraca) and for certain distantly related species of Cladocera and Ostracoda (Williams 1987). The diversity of aquatic animals in a given pool is low, however, probably due to the habitat’s inherent instability and simplicity. *Caenestheriella gynecia* appears to be different from its close and distant relatives in that it is known mostly from rain puddles on dirt roads; the type locality (Mattox 1950), a second location in Ohio (Emberton 1980), one of the populations in Massachusetts (Smith and Gola 2001), and this paper. Although the puddles in which we found *C. gynecia* are more or less permanent, their small size (and high turbidity in the case of the New Jersey pools) may exclude some aquatic predators much as does impermanence.

Wiggins et al. (1980) and Williams (1985) characterized the resident biota of ephemeral aquatic habitats as having high fecundity, short life spans, and rapid maturation. Additionally, Clam Shrimp produce “nesting eggs” (Hann 1996*) which can tolerate heat, cold, and dessication and may require freezing or drying to hatch (Mattox and Velardo 1950; Belk and Belk 1975).

Hann (1996*) observed that the small scale distribution of *Caenestheriella setosa* was patchy, with some puddles inhabited while nearby puddles were empty. This suggests that, despite the Clam Shrimp’s investment in large numbers of resting eggs, egg survival is low, even short-distance dispersal is difficult, or local extinction (in a pool) is frequent. Boileau and Taylor (1994) showed that related organisms had poor dispersal over even very short distances and calculated that there were 0.6-4.5 dispersers per generation in small pools in South Carolina. We presume that dispersal of Clam Shrimp is primarily in the resting egg stage and we can envision several modes of dispersal of these eggs.

Eggs of *C. gynecia* from desiccated puddles could be blown along with soil particles from one puddle or locality to another. Animals passing through puddles, especially species that spend time in muddy habitats, could pick up eggs in mud adhering to their feet, shells, or fur. Potential vectors of dispersal include Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*, see Maguire 1963), Mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*), Snapping Turtles (*Chelydra serpentina*), and humans. More recently, human traffic in the form of wheeled or tracked vehicles could act as a dispersal agent.

Although our few specimens of *C. gynecia* do little to establish a pattern that would resolve the taxonomic question posed by Smith and Gola (2001), there is a geographic pattern that deserves discussion. All known localities for this species are within the maximum extent of the Wisconsinan glaciation (Figure 2) except one location in central Ohio (Emberton 1980). It is, of course, possible that not all populations of this species have been documented (especially since we are here reporting several) and that there may be populations to the south of the known distribution. This is important because robust biogeographic hypotheses do require accurate knowledge of an animal’s distribution. Although this species is rare, there are a number of researchers actively working with Clam Shrimp in the midwestern and southern United States and there have been no other reports of this species.

This distribution suggests the following hypotheses. *Caenestheriella gynecia* is derived from a sexually reproducing species, probably *C. setosa*, whose range is closest to *C. gynecia*. Dispersal into the glaciated area occurred in the Ohio Valley and was an unlikely event given the dispersal mechanisms of the species. Unlikely dispersal would favor establishment of a parthenogenetic individual over at least two sexually reproducing ones. This scenario suggests that *C. gynecia* is relatively young, less than 12 500 years old (the approximate age of the last glacial retreat).

Dispersal eastward from the Ohio Valley would be equally unlikely except that seasonally muddy dirt roads were created soon after European colonization of the northeastern states. *Caenestheriella gynecia* could have spread as eggs in mud splattered on wagons or horses’ hoofs and its distribution would follow traffic flow. Recently, many of these colonial roads have been paved, leaving *C. gynecia* to persist in the remaining dirt road habitats, including farm roads, logging roads, and service roads for powerlines and pipelines.

The above scenario could be corroborated by phylogenetic and genetic analyses. The closest living relative of *C. gynecia* should be geographically very close to *C. gynecia* but we are unaware of any phylogenetic analyses of this genus. Additionally, the genetic variation in *C. gynecia* should be minimal because it is parthenogenetic, has been derived from a very small founder population, and is geologically young. *Caenestheriella gynecia* or its ancestors could have evolved in association with American Bison (*Bison bison*), living in “buffalo wallows” and dispersing in mud caked on Bison fur or hoofs. Butler (1995) noted buffalo wallows somewhat larger than the puddles where we collected *C. gynecia* and alluded to observations of mud stuck to fur. Dispersal of resting stages in the guts of birds is also possible for *C. gynecia*. Proctor et al. (1967) found that *Artemia* eggs and the eggs and adults of Ostracoda could remain viable after passing through the gut of Killdeer (*Charadrius vociferus*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>Mean (range) size, mm</th>
<th>Number of telson spines</th>
<th>Number of segments, 2nd antennae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.75 (4.2-5.2)</td>
<td>18-22</td>
<td>15-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.10 (8.6-10.2)</td>
<td>27-32</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1. Size and meristics of *Caenestheriella gynecia* (Clam Shrimp) specimens from New Jersey and New York.
Long distance (1400 km) dispersal of *Caenesteriella belfragei* was presumed due to eggs being carried on or in waterfowl (Donald 1989). Proctor (1954) showed that viable eggs of some phyllopods could pass through the gut of ducks. If *C. gynecia* had been carried to the glaciated areas by waterfowl, its close relatives could be anywhere along the waterfowl flyways.

Two of the New York and the New Jersey Clam Shrimp localities are privately owned. The Hyde Park road is part of a residential subdivision and reportedly has been excavated for installation of a water pipeline. The New Jersey road is subject to gas pipeline maintenance activities and adjoins The Richard P. Kane Natural Area, possibly subject to habitat restoration. Because field surveys have not been conducted to determine the distribution of, and degree of threat to, *C. gynecia* populations in the northeastern states, we recommend this species be treated as rare and vulnerable to extinction unless demonstrated otherwise. Only 11 localities (Figure 2) have been reported for *C. gynecia*, including our localities, although a recent Ohio survey listed just one site (Weeks and Marcus 1997). If this Clam Shrimp were reviewed by state Natural Heritage Programs in New York and New Jersey it would be ranked as G2, S1 assuming all the reported sites are extant. We recommend that dirt roads one year or more old with long-lasting rain puddles > 1 m² and > 5 cm deep be checked for Clam Shrimp during June-September as part of land management or environmental review processes. Clam Shrimp may sometimes be observed swimming in the pools and may be captured by sweeping a fine-mesh dipnet through the pools.

Research, conservation, management, and restoration of biodiversity are most often done in wildlands and natural areas. Yet urban, industrial, and other altered landscapes are expanding rapidly in North America. Certain rare or declining native species survive or even thrive in human-altered landscapes. Examples of rare species occupying human-disturbed soils are: Knieskern’s Beaked Rush (*Rhynchospora knieskernii*) in a tire rut and on a waste dump in the New Jersey Pine Barrens (Schuyler 1999) and larvae of the Regal Fritillary (*Speyeria idalia*) overwintering in soils disturbed by tanks in Pennsylvania (Rosenzweig 2003). These ecological distributions can be the result of human activities creating a surrogate habitat type or physical-chemical environment not otherwise available, an abundance of food, reduced predation or competition, or persistence during landscape change (see, e.g., Adams 1994). It can be said that “Biodiversity is where you find it.” Therefore conservation biologists and environmental planners need to pay more attention to organisms such as *Caenesteriella gynecia* and the artificial or altered habitats that support them. Altered or artificial habitats of types known to support rare organisms should be surveyed for those species routinely, much as natural and semi-natural habitats are surveyed for rare species. Those sites discovered to support a rare

![Figure 2. Map of the eastern United States showing collection localities of *Caenesteriella gynecia* in relation to the southern extent of the most recent glaciation.](image)
species, such as the Clam Shrimp sites reported here, should be considered for conservation management. In the case of *Caenestheriella gynecia*, management may need to include a degree of controlled motor vehicle activity or its equivalent to maintain the puddle habitat and potentially disperse Clam Shrimp among puddles. We recommend that this species be considered rare and vulnerable to extinction throughout its range unless demonstrated otherwise.
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