Introduction

Invasive alien species are a large problem both for the environment and for agriculture. The Diamondback Moth (Plutella xylostella (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae)) (Figure 1) is one such species. It is invasive in North America and is thought to be native to Europe or Asia (Harcourt 1954). It is a major pest of crops in the plant family Brassicaceae (which includes canola, cabbage, and broccoli), causing an estimated one billion dollars U.S. in crop damage annually (Sarfaz et al. 2005a).

Parasitism levels by Diadegma insulare (Muesebeck) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) and Microplitis plutellae (Haliday) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) at various densities of their host, Plutella xylostella (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), were assessed. Cages with densities of 10 hosts, 20 hosts, and 40 hosts were set up, with the cage volume (40 500 cm³) and number of wasps (2 females) remaining constant. The host populations were also exposed to the wasps for two different exposure times: 1 day and 3 days. The study showed that D. insulare was a better parasitoid overall, achieving a level of parasitism equal to or higher than M. plutellae at all densities. Microplitis plutellae performed best at a lower host density (76% ± 9% of 10 hosts vs. 43% ± 3% of 40 hosts). Diadegma insulare performed similarly at all densities tested (75% ± 5% of 10 hosts, 83% ± 4% of 20 hosts, and 79% ± 6% of 40 hosts). This suggests that D. insulare may be the better parasitoid overall and should be applied in severe, large-scale infestations, while M. plutellae may be better for small-scale infestations.
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Despite the large amount of information collected about these two parasitoid wasps, there are very few data on the effect of host density on the level of parasitism, or the functional response, of the wasps. Some studies (Bolter and Laing 1984) have indicated that there is a difference in functional response, but a comparison of effectiveness of both wasps is lacking.

An important way of evaluating the effect of host density on a parasitoid’s level of parasitism is by looking at its functional response curve. Most parasitoids have a type II functional response curve, although those with a type III functional response curve tend to be more effective parasitoids (Fernandez-Arhex and Corley 2002). The functional response curves of D. insulare and M. plutellae are currently unknown.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the functional response of M. plutellae and D. insulare to their P. xylostella host population, to determine which would be better suited as a biological control agent for Diamondback Moth infestations.

Methods

The experiment tested three levels of density treatments (10, 20, and 40 hosts per cage) crossed with two levels of exposure time treatments (1 day and 3 days). Parasitism by female Diadegma insulare and Microplitis plutellae wasps was measured separately (see Table 1).

Table 1. Number of cages used to produce data for each host density and exposure time treatment, for both D. insulare and M. plutellae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wasp</th>
<th>Exposure time</th>
<th>Host density (No. of hosts per cage)</th>
<th>No. of cages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microplitis plutellae</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diadegma insulare</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parasitoid pupae were collected from a locally-reared culture and placed in a 19 cm × 14 cm × 19 cm cage (fabricated onsite) with a vial containing a 10% solution of sucrose in water. This cage was checked daily so that the approximate age of each of the adult parasitoid wasps to be used in experiments was controlled. The range of acceptable age for wasps was 2–5 days post-emergence, to ensure that the wasps were young enough and had been able to mate before being introduced to the host population.

Diamondback Moth larvae (Figure 5) were taken from a culture and placed in a 30 cm × 30 cm × 45 cm cage with a single canola plant. Larvae were placed in each cage at different host densities (in this experiment, host density is specifically the number of hosts, either 10, 20 or 40, per cage), and 10% sucrose vials were placed inside as a source of food for the wasps. After setup, the cages were left in a climate-controlled room (set at 22°C ± 1 Celsius degree and 70% humidity, with 16 hours of light provided by two Sylvania F48T12D/VHO bulbs, 115 W and 6500 K, and two Sylvania Cool Whites F48T12/CW/VHO bulbs, 115 W and 4200 K). This was the beginning of the wasp exposure time.
which lasted for 1 or 3 days, depending on the treatment.

After the wasp exposure time was complete, the parasitoids were removed and the cage was left inside the climate-controlled room for a further 14 days to allow hosts and parasitoids to pupate. The parasitoid and host pupae were removed from the cage and counted. For each replicate, the level of parasitism (based on the number of parasitoid pupae recovered) was recorded as a percentage of the original host population.

Specimens are deposited in the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids, and Nematodes at Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada in Ottawa, Ontario (CNC) (collection acronyms follow the Registry of Biological Repositories, http://www.biorepositories.org).

Results

Parasitism by *Diadegma insulare* remained relatively consistent for both exposure times, sitting at 62% ± 7% for the 1-day exposure time and 75% ± 5% for the 3-day exposure (Figure 6).

Parasitism by *Microplitis plutellae* showed some variation among densities. In the 1-day exposure treatments, the level of parasitism was very low (16% ± 8% to 21% ± 3%); the most likely explanation is that the wasps did not have adequate time to parasitize. In the 3-day exposure treatments (where the wasps had more time for parasitism), *M. plutellae* performed optimally at the lowest density, parasitizing 76% ± 9% of the 10 hosts (Figure 7).

In the 1-day exposure treatment, *Diadegma insulare* achieved a much higher level of parasitism than *Microplitis plutellae* at all densities (58% ± 2% to 67% ± 4% for *D. insulare* versus 16% ± 8% to 21% ± 3% for *M. plutellae*) (Figure 8). In the 3-day exposure treatment, *M. plutellae* performed comparably to *D. insulare* at the lowest density, with both wasps achieving similar levels of parasitism (76% ± 9% and 75% ± 5%, respectively). However, as density increased, the parasitism rate for *M. plutellae* dropped to 52% ± 4% (of 20 hosts), and finally to 43% ± 3% (of 40 hosts). The parasitism rate for *D. insulare* remained at approximately the same level (Figure 9).
type II and type IV functional responses (van Alphen and Jervis 1996). A type IV response has never been observed in a parasitoid before (Fernandez-Arhex and Corley 2002), so it is more likely that \textit{M. plutellae} has a type II response. Further experimentation (using higher host densities) could provide data to support or refute the inferences made in this paper about the functional response curves of \textit{D. insulare} and \textit{M. plutellae}.

The characterization of the functional responses of \textit{D. insulare} and \textit{M. plutellae} also reveals information about their behaviour. A type I functional response, such as \textit{D. insulare}'s, occurs when a parasitoid’s handling time is negligible and egg supply is the only factor that limits parasitism. \textit{M. plutellae} has a type II functional response, suggesting that it takes a relatively long time to find and implant an egg into a host.

Past experiments seem to agree with the results of this experiment. \textit{Microplitis plutellae} has been shown to be more egg-limited than \textit{D. insulare} (Bolter and Laing 1984), producing only 40 eggs per day compared to \textit{D. insulare}'s 50 eggs per day. The studies by Bolter and Laing (1984) have also revealed that the behaviour of the wasps may, in some cases, have a greater impact on their level of parasitism than the number of eggs. In their experiment, \textit{M. plutellae} wasps were able to lay only half of their daily quota of eggs, whereas \textit{D. insulare} wasps were able to lay all of them (when a large enough host population was provided) (Bolter and Laing 1984). This further supports the classification of \textit{M. plutellae} as a parasitoid with a type II functional response—it may be unable to use all of the eggs it produces in a day because it spends too much time interacting with the host.

It is possible that the inferior percentage parasitism of \textit{M. plutellae} is related to superparasitism, a form of parasitism in which the host is attacked more than once by a single species of parasitoid. The percentages superparasitism of \textit{M. plutellae} and \textit{D. insulare} have been compared in previous experiments. Bolter and Laing (1984) suggested that \textit{M. plutellae} is unable to distinguish between a parasitized and a non-parasitized host. However, the functional response experiments of Bolter and Laing (1984) indicated that \textit{D. insulare} and \textit{M. plutellae} maintain an equally low level of superparasitism within a host population. Further research is required to determine the impact that superparasitism may have on the functional response of \textit{M. plutellae}.

There are multiple factors to take into consideration when determining the optimal biological control agent for a pest. In addition to functional response, a parasitoid’s adaptability to climate and its impact on non-target species must also be evaluated. Both \textit{D. insulare} and \textit{M. plutellae} are widespread in North America (Krombein et al. 1979a,b). \textit{Microplitis plutellae} is found as far north as Ontario and Saskatchewan, as far west as California, and as far east as Virginia. \textit{Diadegma insulare} has an even wider North American distribution.

When looking at the number of hosts parasitized (as opposed to the percentage of the total host population), a different trend emerges. Both \textit{D. insulare} and \textit{M. plutellae} parasitized a larger number of hosts as host density increased. However, the number of hosts parasitized by \textit{D. insulare} increased at a constant rate, while the number of hosts parasitized by \textit{M. plutellae} increased at a constantly decreasing rate.

**Discussion**

From a purely functional response standpoint, \textit{Diadegma insulare} seems to be a more effective parasitoid of the Diamondback Moth than \textit{Microplitis plutellae}. \textit{Diadegma insulare} maintained a level of parasitism equal to or higher than that of \textit{M. plutellae} at all densities.

It is possible to identify the functional response curves for \textit{D. insulare} and \textit{M. plutellae} based on the results of this experiment. It appears that \textit{D. insulare} has a type I functional response curve: as the number of available hosts increased, \textit{D. insulare} parasitized a larger number of hosts while maintaining a constant percentage parasitism. It is a somewhat unexpected result, as only one other parasitoid biological control agent (\textit{Eretmocerus eremicus} (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae)) is known to exhibit a type I functional response (Fernandez-Arhex and Corley 2002). It is important to note that the host densities tested in this experiment were relatively low compared to other experiments (Putnam 1968), and it is likely that the percentage parasitism by \textit{D. insulare} would decrease when the number of available hosts reached a critical mass (as is evident with other type I functional response organisms) (van Alphen and Jervis 1996).

\textit{Microplitis plutellae} seems to have a type II functional response curve. The number of hosts parasitized by \textit{M. plutellae} rose at a constantly decreasing rate. Thus, as host density increased, a smaller percentage of hosts was parasitized. These are characteristics of type II and type IV functional responses (van Alphen and Jervis 1996).

**Figure 9.** Mean (± SE) parasitism of the Diamondback Moth, \textit{Plutella xylostella}, by the larval parasitoids \textit{Diadegma insulare} and \textit{Microplitis plutellae} at the 3-day exposure time at different host densities.
While both parasitoids are considered native species to Canada and the U.S., the Diamondback Moth is widely believed to have originated in Europe. *Diadegma insulare* is known to attack two other European moths, *Plutella armoraciae* (Busck) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) and *Hellula undalis* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Similarly, *M. plutellae* can attack *Trichoplusia ni* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), another European pest of Brassicaceae (Krombein et al. 1979a). It is likely that these two parasitoids have non-target host species that are native to North America, but these host species are currently unknown.
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